The New Ropanasuri Journal of Surgery

Volume 2 | Number 2

Article 1

10-20-2017

Need for the Development of Indonesian Clinical Practice Guideline

Yefta Moenadjat

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, dr.Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital., yefta.moenadjat@ui.ac.id

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/nrjs

Recommended Citation

Moenadjat, Yefta (2017) "Need for the Development of Indonesian Clinical Practice Guideline," *The New Ropanasuri Journal of Surgery*: Vol. 2: No. 2, Article 1.

DOI: 10.7454/nrjs.v2i2.37

Available at: https://scholarhub.ui.ac.id/nrjs/vol2/iss2/1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty of Medicine at UI Scholars Hub. It has been accepted for inclusion in The New Ropanasuri Journal of Surgery by an authorized editor of UI Scholars Hub.





Need for the Development of Indonesian Clinical Practice Guideline

Yefta Moenadjat.

Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo General Hospital.

Email: yefta.moenadjat@ui.ac.id Received: 12/Apr/2017 Accepted: 3/Jul/2017 Published: 20/Oct/2017 http://www.nrjs.ui.ac.id DOI: 10.7454/nrjs.v2i2.37

Editorial

To date clinical practice guideline (abbreviated as CPG) becomes a need in surgical care since they are statements that include recommendations intended to optimize patient care that are informed by a systematic review of evidence and an assessment of the benefits and harms of alternative care options. Above definition were revised that defined a CPG as systematically developed statements to assist practitioner and patient decisions about appropriate healthcare for specific clinical circumstances; which has contributed in changing the concept of guidelines to modern one. In accordance with report from Institute of Medicine (IOM) in 1992, the CPG (hereafter referred to as guidelines) are one of the foundations of efforts to improve healthcare.

A guideline is addressed to escort clinicians when dealing with difficult cases of which have a high risk to mortality and treatment failure that managed with a great of variation. It is aimed to unify the perception of clinicians of multidiscipline, but not to eradicate the variations.⁴ This guideline includes the experts from disciplines involved in the management of a clinical entity and other stakeholders, background, current concepts, the scope, clinical questions, methodology, grading system, executive summary, recommendations and its rational, and certainly the references.^{5,6} Its current is achieved by the process of periodically updating.^{5,7}

The guidelines originally consist of guide + lines were the instructions for guiding purposes only, as advice(s) on how to act in a given situation. As it developed in systematic manner based on highly selected and criticized evidence, then the recommendations of a guideline referred to as a quality assurance in surgical care. Guidelines recommendations are the tools for healthcare professionals to develop strategies for quality improvement in case deviations from desired processes or outcomes are identified by the measurement of quality indicators.8 Perceptively, it should be noted that a recommendation is non-mandatory control, is not a policy (which is the rules for fair and consistent staff treatment and ensure compliance), and is not a procedure (which is a step by step instructions for implementation), and is not a standard (which is quantifiable low level mandatory controls). Guidelines refers to pronouncements, statements, or declarations that suggest or recommend specific professional behavior, endeavors, or conduct some medical (surgical) intervention.⁸

In the implementation in Indonesia, there's a confusing terminology of CPGs in Bahasa which is (should be translated as) 'Panduan Praktik Klinik' (PPK). In accordance with 'Standar Pelayanan Kesehatan' (Indonesian standard of Health care) published by the consortium of health care, Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia, the CPGs attributed to 'Pedoman Pelayanan Nasional Kesehatan' (PPNK) where as in the development, and the format is a CPGs, indeed. PPK, clinical pathways, protocols, and algorithm are the rundowns of a PPNK which are to be implemented in the hospitals. Thus, a PPNK approved by the Ministry of Health covers a nationwide while as the others covers only in a single hospital that may be found different to another.⁹

A CPGs differs from a standard in that standard is mandatory and may be accompanied by an enforcement mechanism. This standard is, a step higher on the hierarchy.



Guidelines are aspirational in intent; to facilitate the continued systematic development of the profession and to help ensure a high level of professional practice. ¹⁰ It was emphasized that guidelines are not intended to be mandatory or exhaustive and may not be applicable to every professional and clinical situation. They are not definitive and they are not intended to take precedence over professional judgment. Guidelines are developed for quality assurance of surgical care management, to improve the appropriateness of care, to improve costeffectiveness, and to served educational tools. Thus, guidelines implies to the best practice. ¹¹

As it was previously mentioned, a quality CPG developed by experts in an advisory board assigned by association of a professional of a multidiscipline involved, academic, or mixed; which is systematically developed in accordance with the current system, namely Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE). 4.12,13 A quality CPG accommodates the regionally—specific characteristics in the recommendations. They were reviewed internally and externally prior to the dissemination, 4.14 evaluated, and periodically updated. 15

Surgical care in Indonesia predominate by Indonesian—specific characteristics which is delayed presented cases, and advanced one; both in the rural and the centers. There were many reasons (geographic—, socio— culture—, economic issues) to be responsible for this characteristic. Another issue found related to this characteristic is the issues of medical vs. non—medical (traditional, the alternatives, believes) treatment, medical vigilance, and medical vs. surgical management of a disease entity. It might be the reason of why an established CPG is difficult to be implemented, or adopted in the region. Thus, a clinical practice guideline (CPG) of this Indonesian—specific characteristics is the solution, and urgently required to reduce mortality rate that remains as a common problem.

The need of CPG is clear. CPG bridges the evidence to clinical practice of surgery and offers recommendations assist clinicians to provide surgical care of the best quality. ^{10,16,17} By delivering the best quality of surgical care then we are running the clinical governance to achieve the quality improvement. ^{18–23} Since to develop an Indonesian surgical CPG *de novo* encountered a problem due to inadequacy of data as common found in all developing countries, then the options to adopt, or to adapted an established CPG is likely the best option. ^{24–28} Thus, why have to wait for tomorrow?

References

- Graham R, Mancher M, Wolman DM, Grreenfield S, Steinberg E. Editors. Clinical practice guidelines we can trust Washington DC. The National Academy Press; 2011. Available from: www.nap.edu
- Marilyn J. Field and Kathleen N. Lohr. Clinical Practice Guidelines: Directions for a New Program. In: Marilyn J. Field and Kathleen N. Lohr. Editor. National Academy Press. National Academy Press; 1990;68:1-168. Available from: www.nap.edu
- Field MJ, Lohr KN. Guidelines for clinical Practice: What They Are and why They count. J Law Med. 1992: 319:1197-56.
- Woolf S, Schünemann HJ, Eccles MP, Grimshaw JM, Shekelle P. Developing clinical practice guidelines: types of evidence and outcomes; values and economics, synthesis, grading, and presentation and deriving recommendations. Implement Sci. 2012;7(1):61.
- Kish MA. Guide to Development of Practice Guidelines. Clin Infect Dis. 2001;32:851–4.
- Kredo T, Bernhardsson S, Machingaidze S, Young T, Louw Q, Ochodo E, et al. Guide to clinical practice guidelines: The current state of play. Int J Qual Heal Care. 2016;28(1):122–8.
- Vernooij RWM, Alonso-Coello P, Brouwers M, Martínez García L. Reporting Items for Updated Clinical Guidelines: Checklist for the Reporting of Updated Guidelines (CheckUp). PLoS Med. 2017;14(1):1–14.

- Kopp IB. Von Leitlinien zur Qualitätssicherung (articles in German).
 Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforsch-Gesundheitsschutz.
 2011;54(2):160–5.
- Kementerian Kesehatan RI. Pedoman Penyusunan Standar Pelayanan Kedokteran. 2014.
- Chan EKH. Validity and Validation in Social, Behavioral, and Health Sciences. 2014;54.
- Perleth M, Jakubowski E, Busse R. What is "best practice" in health care? State of the art and perspectives in improving the effectiveness and efficiency of the European health care systems. Health Policy. 2001;56(3):235–50.
- Kavanagh BP. The GRADE system for rating clinical guidelines. PLoS Med. 2009;6(9):1–5.
- 13. Handbook GH, October U, Sch H, Bro J, Guyatt G, Oxman A, et al. GRADE Handbook. 2015. p. 1–57.
- Shekelle PG, Woolf SH, Eccles M, Grimshaw J. Developing clinical guidelines. West J Med. 1999;170(6):348–51.
- Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck- Y, Alonso-coello P, et al. GRADE: An Emerging Consensus on Rating Quality of Evidence and Strength of Recommendations. Br Med J. 2008;336:924–6.
- Graham R, Mancher M, Wolman DM. Clinical practice guidelines we can trust. 2011;3–4.
- Davis D, Goldman J, Palda VA. Handbook on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Vol. 120. Canadian Medical Association; 2007.
- Chiozza ML, Plebani M. Clinical Governance: From clinical risk management to continuous quality improvement. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2006;44(6):694–8.
- Mirza A, McClelland L, Bentley M, Mazengarb S, Jones N. Clinical Governance in Action. Bull R Coll Surg Engl. 2013;95(1):1–4.
- Halligan A, Donaldson L. Implementing clinical governance: turning vision into reality. BMJ. 2001;322:1413–7.
- Spark JI, Rowe S. Clinical governance: Its effect on surgery and the surgeon. ANZ J Surg. 2004;74(3):167–70.
- Veenstra GL, Ahaus K, Welker GA, Heineman E, van der Laan MJ, Muntinghe FLH. Rethinking clinical governance: healthcare professionals' views: a Delphi study. BMJ Open. 2017;7(1):e012591.
- 23. Group E. EBN users 'guide. Clin Gov. 2005;8:68-73.
- Amer YS, Elzalabany MM, Omar TI, Ibrahim AG, Dowidar NL. The "Adapted ADAPTE": An approach to improve utilization of the ADAPTE guideline adaptation resource toolkit in the Alexandria Center for Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. J Eval Clin Pract. 2015;21(6):1095–106.
- The ADAPTE Collaboration. The ADAPTE process: Resource toolkit for guideline adaptation. 2009;1–95.
- Attia A. Adaptation of international evidence based clinical practice guidelines: The ADAPTE process. Middle East Fertil Soc J [Internet]. 2013;18(2):123–6.
- Suggestion of ADAPTE process Adaptation Quality Assessment of Adapted Guidelines Group discussion. 2011;1–25.
- Alonso-Coello P, Irfan A, Sola I, Gich I, Delgado-Noguera M, Rigau D, et al. The quality of clinical practice guidelines over the last two decades: a systematic review of guideline appraisal studies. BMJ Qual Saf. 2010;19(6):e58–e58.

